

George VOUTSADAKIS

**CATEGORICAL ABSTRACT  
ALGEBRAIC LOGIC  
WEAKLY REFERENTIAL  $\pi$ -INSTITUTIONS**

*A b s t r a c t.* Wójcicki introduced in the late 1970s the concept of a referential semantics for propositional logics. Referential semantics incorporate features of the Kripke possible world semantics for modal logics into the realm of algebraic and matrix semantics of arbitrary sentential logics. A well-known theorem of Wójcicki asserts that a logic has a referential semantics if and only if it is selfextensional. A second theorem of Wójcicki asserts that a logic has a weakly referential semantics if and only if it is weakly self-extensional. We formulate and prove an analog of this theorem in the categorical setting. We show that a  $\pi$ -institution has a weakly referential semantics if and only if it is weakly self-extensional.

---

*Received 27 November 2015*

*To Don Pigozzi this work is dedicated on the occasion of his 80th Birthday.*

*2010 AMS Subject Classification: 03G27*

*Keywords and phrases: Referential Logics, Selfextensional Logics, Referential Semantics, Referential  $\pi$ -institutions, Selfextensional  $\pi$ -institutions.*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \langle \Lambda, \rho \rangle$  be a logical signature/algebraic type, i.e., a set of logical connectives/operation symbols  $\Lambda$  with attached finite arities given by the function  $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \omega$ . Let, also,  $V$  be a countably infinite set of propositional variables and  $T$  a set of reference/base points. Wójcicki [5] defines a **referential algebra**  $\mathbf{A}$  to be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -algebra with universe  $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^T$ . Such an algebra determines the consequence operation  $C^{\mathbf{A}}$  on  $\text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$  by setting, for all  $X \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ ,  $\alpha \in C^{\mathbf{A}}(X)$  iff, for all  $h : \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  and all  $t \in T$ ,

$$h(\beta)(t) = 1, \text{ for all } \beta \in X, \text{ implies } h(\alpha)(t) = 1.$$

Moreover, Wójcicki calls a propositional logic  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$ , where  $C = C^{\mathbf{A}}$ , for a referential algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , a **referential** (or **referentially truth-functional**) **propositional logic**.

Wójcicki shows in [5] that, given a class  $\mathbf{K}$  of referential algebras, there exists a single referential algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , such that  $C^{\mathbf{K}} := \bigcap_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{K}} C^{\mathbf{K}} = C^{\mathbf{A}}$ . Thence follows that a propositional logic is referential if and only if it is defined by a class of referential algebras.

Given a propositional logic  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$ , the **Frege** or **interderivability relation** of  $\mathcal{S}$  (see, e.g., Definition 2.37 of [3]), denoted  $\Lambda(\mathcal{S})$ , is the equivalence relation on  $\text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ , defined, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ , by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \Lambda(\mathcal{S}) \text{ iff } C(\alpha) = C(\beta).$$

The **Tarski congruence**  $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  (see [3]) is the largest congruence relation on  $\mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$  that is compatible with all theories of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The Tarski congruence is a special case of the **Suszko congruence**  $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mathcal{S}}(T)$  associated with a given theory  $T$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ , which is defined as the largest congruence on  $\mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$  that is compatible with all theories of  $\mathcal{S}$  that contain the given theory  $T$  (see [2]). In fact, by definition,  $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{S}) = \tilde{\Omega}^{\mathcal{S}}(C(\emptyset))$ , i.e., the Tarski congruence of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the Suszko congruence associated with the set of theorems of the logic  $\mathcal{S}$ . Font and Jansana (see p.17 of [3]), extending Blok and Pigozzi's [1] well-known characterization of the *Leibniz congruence*  $\Omega(T)$  associated with a theory  $T$  of a sentential logic, have shown that, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ ,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{S}) \text{ iff for all } \varphi(p, \vec{q}) \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V), \\ C(\varphi(\alpha, \vec{q})) = C(\varphi(\beta, \vec{q})).$$

Whereas  $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \Lambda(\mathcal{S})$ , for every propositional logic  $\mathcal{S}$ , the reverse inclusion does not hold in general. A propositional logic is called **selfextensional** in [5] if  $\Lambda(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{S})$ . In fact, Wójcicki shows in what has become a fundamental theorem in the theory of referential semantics, Theorem 2 of [5], that a propositional logic is referential if and only if it is self-extensional.

Wójcicki in [6] revisited the equivalence between referentiality and self-extensionality, proving a “weak version” by replacing the entirety of theories (equivalently, the closure operator  $C$ ) by the set of theorems. More precisely, Wójcicki considers in [6] (see the Theorem in [6]) propositional logics  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$ , where  $C(\emptyset) = C^{\mathbf{A}}(\emptyset)$ , for a referential algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ . We call such logics **weakly referential logics**.

Given a propositional logic  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$ , the **Leibniz congruence**  $\Omega(T)$  of a theory  $T$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  (see [1]) is the largest congruence relation on  $\mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$  that is compatible with  $T$ . Blok and Pigozzi’s well-known characterization of the Leibniz congruence  $\Omega(T)$  (see p. 11 of [1]) asserts that, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ ,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \Omega(T) \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } \varphi(p, \bar{q}) \in \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V), \\ \varphi(\alpha, \bar{q}) \in T \quad \text{iff} \quad \varphi(\beta, \bar{q}) \in T. \end{array}$$

A propositional logic  $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$  is called **weakly selfextensional** in [6] if, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(V)$ ,

$$\alpha, \beta \in C(\emptyset) \quad \text{implies} \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \Omega(C(\emptyset)).$$

In the Theorem of [6], Wójcicki shows that a propositional logic is weakly referential if and only if it is weakly self-extensional.

## 2. $\pi$ -Institutions and Closure Systems

Let **Sign** be a category and  $\text{SEN} : \mathbf{Sign} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  a **Set**-valued functor. The **clone of all natural transformations on SEN** (see Section 2 of [8]) is the category  $U$  with collection of objects  $\{\text{SEN}^{\alpha} : \alpha \text{ an ordinal}\}$  and collection of morphisms  $\tau : \text{SEN}^{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{SEN}^{\beta}$   $\beta$ -sequences of natural transformations  $\tau : \text{SEN}^{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{SEN}$ . Composition of  $\langle \tau_i : i < \beta \rangle : \text{SEN}^{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{SEN}^{\beta}$  with  $\langle \sigma_j : j < \gamma \rangle : \text{SEN}^{\beta} \rightarrow \text{SEN}^{\gamma}$

$$\text{SEN}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\langle \tau_i : i < \beta \rangle} \text{SEN}^{\beta} \xrightarrow{\langle \sigma_j : j < \gamma \rangle} \text{SEN}^{\gamma}$$

is defined by

$$\langle \sigma_j : j < \gamma \rangle \circ \langle \tau_i : i < \beta \rangle = \langle \sigma_j(\langle \tau_i : i < \beta \rangle) : j < \gamma \rangle.$$

A subcategory of this category with objects all objects of the form  $\text{SEN}^k$ ,  $k < \omega$ , and such that:

- it contains all projection morphisms  $p^{k,i} : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$ ,  $i < k$ ,  $k < \omega$ , with  $p_\Sigma^{k,i} : \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  given by

$$p_\Sigma^{k,i}(\vec{\phi}) = \phi_i, \text{ for all } \vec{\phi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^k,$$

- for every family  $\{\tau_i : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN} : i < l\}$  of natural transformations in  $N$ ,  $\langle \tau_i : i < l \rangle : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}^l$  is also in  $N$ ,

is referred to as a **category of natural transformations on SEN**.

Consider an **algebraic system**  $F = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$ , i.e., a triple consisting of

- a category **Sign**, called the **category of signatures**;
- a functor  $\text{SEN} : \mathbf{Sign} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , called the **sentence functor**;
- a category of natural transformations  $N$  on  $\text{SEN}$ .

A  **$\pi$ -institution based on  $F$**  is a pair  $\mathcal{I} = \langle F, C \rangle$ , where  $C = \{C_\Sigma\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$  is a **closure system on SEN**, i.e., a  $|\mathbf{Sign}|$ -indexed collection of closure operators  $C_\Sigma : \mathcal{P}\text{SEN}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , such that, for all  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ , all  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$  and all  $\Phi \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma_1)$ ,

$$\text{SEN}(f)(C_{\Sigma_1}(\Phi)) \subseteq C_{\Sigma_2}(\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi)).$$

This condition is sometimes referred to as **structurality**. In this context,  $F$  is also referred to as the **base algebraic system**. Given a  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I}$ , a **theory family**  $T = \{T_\Sigma\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$  is a  $|\mathbf{Sign}|$ -indexed collection of subsets  $T_\Sigma \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , closed under  $C_\Sigma$ , i.e., such that  $C_\Sigma(T_\Sigma) = T_\Sigma$ , for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ . The collection of all theory families of  $\mathcal{I}$  is denoted by  $\text{ThFam}(\mathcal{I})$ . Ordered by signature-wise inclusion, it forms a complete lattice **ThFam**( $\mathcal{I}$ ) =  $\langle \text{ThFam}(\mathcal{I}), \leq \rangle$ .

Note, also, that, given a base algebraic system  $F$ , the collection of all closure systems based on  $F$  is closed under signature-wise intersections and, hence, forms a complete lattice under the signature-wise ordering  $\leq$ :

$$C^1 \leq C^2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{for all } \Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}| \text{ and all } \Phi \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma), \\ C_\Sigma^1(\Phi) \subseteq C_\Sigma^2(\Phi).$$

### 3. Referential $\pi$ -Institutions

We assume a base algebraic system  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$ . Consider also an  $N$ -algebraic system  $\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}', \text{SEN}', N' \rangle$ , i.e., one such that there exists a surjective functor  $' : N \rightarrow N'$ , preserving all projection natural transformations and, as a consequence, all arities of the natural transformations involved. We denote by  $\sigma' : \text{SEN}'^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}'$  the natural transformation in  $N'$  that is the image of  $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  in  $N$  under  $'$ .

More specifically, we want to focus on  $N$ -algebraic systems  $\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}', \text{SEN}'_s, N' \rangle$ , where  $\text{SEN}'_s$  is a simple subfunctor (having the same domain) of the inverse powerset functor  $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{P}}\text{SEN}' : \mathbf{Sign}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  of a contravariant functor  $\text{SEN}' : \mathbf{Sign}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}}$ . For  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}'|$ , the elements of  $\text{SEN}'(\Sigma)$  in this context are referred to as  $\Sigma$ -**reference** or  $\Sigma$ -**base points** (see, e.g., [9]). An  $N$ -morphism  $\langle F, \alpha \rangle : \text{SEN} \rightarrow \text{SEN}'_s$  will be viewed as a valuation of sentences of  $\text{SEN}$  in the following way: For all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and all  $\varphi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$  is **true at**  $p \in \text{SEN}'(F(\Sigma))$  **under**  $\langle F, \alpha \rangle$  iff  $p \in \alpha_\Sigma(\varphi)$ .

An  $N$ -algebraic system of this special form is called a **referential  $N$ -algebraic system**. By slightly abusing terminology, we use the same term to refer to an (**interpreted**) **referential  $N$ -algebraic system**, which is a pair  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$ , with  $\langle F, \alpha \rangle : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  an algebraic system morphism, also referred to as an  $N$ -morphism. We sometimes drop the subscript  $s$  when referring to the subfunctor to make notation less cumbersome, provided that this is unlikely to cause any confusion.

Let  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$  be a base algebraic system and  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$  an interpreted referential  $N$ -algebraic system. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  determines a closure system  $C^{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\text{SEN}$  (or on  $\mathbf{F}$ ) according to the following definition:

For all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and all  $\Phi \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ ,  $\varphi \in C^{\mathcal{A}}_\Sigma(\Phi)$  iff, for all  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ ,

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\phi)) \subseteq \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi))$$

( $\phi$  and  $\varphi$ , here, are intentionally different).

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 1 of [11]). *Suppose  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$  is a base algebraic system and  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$  an interpreted referential  $N$ -algebraic system. Then  $C^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a closure system on  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

Since  $C^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a closure system on  $\mathbf{F}$ , the pair  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$  is a  $\pi$ -institution. We call an institution having this form a **referential  $\pi$ -institution**. Such  $\pi$ -institutions correspond in the theory of categorical abstract algebraic logic (CAAL) to the referential propositional logics of Wójcicki [5].

Let  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$  be a base algebraic system and  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  a  $\pi$ -institution based on  $\mathbf{F}$ . We define the **Frege equivalence system**  $\Lambda(\mathcal{I})$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  (see p. 37 of [7]), also known as the **interderivability equivalence system**, by setting, for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and all  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ ,

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Lambda_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{I}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad C_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = C_{\Sigma}(\psi).$$

The **Tarski congruence system**  $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I})$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  ([3] for the universal algebraic notion and [10] for its categorical extension) is the largest  $N$ -congruence system on  $\text{SEN}$  that is compatible with every theory family  $T \in \text{ThFam}(\mathcal{I})$ .

Clearly, it is always the case that  $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{I})$ . We call the  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I}$  **self-extensional** if  $\Lambda(\mathcal{I}) \leq \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I})$ . In view of the preceding remark,  $\mathcal{I}$  is self-extensional if and only if  $\Lambda(\mathcal{I}) = \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I})$ .

A generalization to  $\pi$ -institutions of Wójcicki's Theorem (see Theorem 2 of [5], but, also, Theorem 2.2 of [4] for a complete proof) provides a characterization of referential  $\pi$ -institutions

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 8 of [9]). *A  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  is referential if and only if it is self-extensional.*

#### 4. Weakly Referential $\pi$ -Institutions

We assume a base algebraic system  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$ . Recall that for any (interpreted) referential  $N$ -algebraic system  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$ , the pair  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$  is a referential  $\pi$ -institution. We call a  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  a **weakly referential  $\pi$ -institution** if, for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ ,

$$C_{\Sigma}(\emptyset) = C_{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}(\emptyset),$$

for some referential  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}}$ . Such  $\pi$ -institutions correspond in the theory of CAAL to the weakly referential propositional logics of Wójcicki [6].

Let  $\mathbf{F} = \langle \mathbf{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$  be a base algebraic system and  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  a  $\pi$ -institution based on  $\mathbf{F}$ . Let, also  $T \in \text{ThFam}(\mathcal{I})$ . The **Leibniz congruence system**  $\Omega(T)$  of  $T$  ([1] for the universal algebraic notion and

p. 223 of [8] for its categorical extension) is the largest  $N$ -congruence system on  $\text{SEN}$  that is compatible with the theory family  $T$ . We denote by  $\text{Thm} = \{\text{Thm}_\Sigma\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$  the **theorem family** of  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Thm}_\Sigma = C_\Sigma(\emptyset)$ , for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ .

We call the  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I}$  **weakly self-extensional** if, for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and all  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ ,

$$\varphi, \psi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma \quad \text{implies} \quad \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Omega_\Sigma(\text{Thm}_\Sigma).$$

A generalization to  $\pi$ -institutions of Wójcicki's Theorem (see the Theorem of [6]) provides a characterization of weakly referential  $\pi$ -institutions. This is the main result of the present work, formulated in Theorem 9. The value rests in both furnishing a more detailed proof based on the sketch provided in [6], and, also, in extending the scope of the result to encompass logics formalized as  $\pi$ -institutions. We start with the easy direction.

**Proposition 3.** *If a  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  is weakly referential, then it is weakly self-extensional.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is weakly referential. Thus, there exists a referential  $N$ -algebraic system  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that  $C_\Sigma(\emptyset) = C_\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\emptyset)$ , for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ . Let  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , such that  $\varphi, \psi \in C_\Sigma(\emptyset) = C_\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\emptyset)$ . This implies that  $C_\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi) = C_\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\psi)$ , i.e., that  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Lambda_\Sigma(\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}})$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is referential, it is self-extensional by Theorem 2. Thus, we get  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \tilde{\Omega}_\Sigma(\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}})$ . Therefore, by the characterization theorem of the Tarski Operator in CAAL, Theorem 4 of [10], for all  $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  in  $N$ , all  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ , all  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$  and all  $\bar{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma')^k$ ,

$$C_{\Sigma'}^{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f))(\varphi), \bar{\chi}) = C_{\Sigma'}^{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f))(\psi), \bar{\chi}).$$

Thus, we obtain, for all  $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  in  $N$ , all  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ , all  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$  and all  $\bar{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma')^k$ ,

$$\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f))(\varphi), \bar{\chi} \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'} \quad \text{iff} \quad \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f))(\psi), \bar{\chi} \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}.$$

This shows that  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Omega_\Sigma(\text{Thm})$ . □

Let  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  be a weakly self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution, with theorem family  $\text{Thm}$ . Define the family  $R = \{R_\Sigma\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$  by setting

$$R_\Sigma = \left\{ \frac{\sigma_\Sigma(\varphi, \bar{\chi})}{\sigma_\Sigma(\psi, \bar{\chi})} : \sigma \text{ in } N, \bar{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^k, \varphi, \psi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma \right\},$$

where, following a common convention in CAAL, when we write  $\frac{\sigma_\Sigma(\varphi, \bar{x})}{\sigma_\Sigma(\psi, \bar{x})}$ , we mean that  $\varphi, \psi$  may occupy any position in  $\sigma$  and not just the first, as long as they occupy the same position in both the antecedent and the consequent of the rule.

Define on  $\mathbf{F}$  the operator family  $C^{\text{Thm}, R} = \{C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R}\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$ , such that, for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ ,  $C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R} : \mathcal{P}\text{SEN}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{SEN}(\Sigma)$  is given, for all  $\Phi \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , by

$$\varphi \in C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R}(\Phi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \varphi \text{ is } R_\Sigma\text{-provable from } \Phi \cup \text{Thm}_\Sigma.$$

Then, we can show that  $C^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is a closure system on  $\mathbf{F}$ :

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  be a weakly self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution, with theorem family  $\text{Thm}$ . Then  $C^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is a closure system on  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

**Proof.** By classical proof-theoretic arguments, one shows that  $C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is a closure operator on  $\text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , for all  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ . So it suffices to show that  $C^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is structural. Suppose that  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and  $\Phi \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$ , such that  $\varphi \in C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R}(\Phi)$ . This means that there exists an  $R_\Sigma$ -proof

$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n = \varphi$$

of  $\varphi$  from  $\Phi \cup \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . We must show that, for all  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ ,  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi) \in C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm}, R}(\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi))$ . Consider the sequence of  $\Sigma'$ -sentences

$$\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_0), \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_1), \dots, \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_n) = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi).$$

It suffices to show that this is a valid  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -proof of  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi)$  from hypotheses  $\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi) \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . This is accomplished by induction on  $0 \leq k \leq n$ :

**Base:** If  $k = 0$ , then  $\varphi_0$  must be a  $\Sigma$ -sentence in  $\Phi \cup \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . But then, since the theorem family of any  $\pi$ -institution is a theory system, we get that  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_0)$  is in  $\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi) \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ .

**Hypothesis:** Suppose, for all  $i < k \leq n$ ,  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i)$  is either in  $\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi) \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$  or follows from previous sentences in the sequence by a single application of an  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -rule.

**Step:** If  $\varphi_k$  is in  $\Phi \cup \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ , then, as in the Base, it follows that  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k)$  is in  $\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi) \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . Suppose, finally, that  $\varphi_k$  follows from  $\varphi_i, i < k$ , by a single application of an  $R_\Sigma$ -rule, i.e., there exists  $\sigma$  in



$N$  and  $\vec{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^p$ , such that  $\varphi_i = \sigma_\Sigma(\varphi, \vec{\chi})$  and  $\varphi_k = \sigma_\Sigma(\psi, \vec{\chi})$ , for some  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . But, then, for the same  $\sigma$  in  $N$  and  $\text{SEN}(f)(\vec{\chi}) \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma')^p$ , we have that  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \text{SEN}(f)(\psi) \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i) &= \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\vec{\chi})), \\ \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k) &= \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\vec{\chi})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k)$  follows from  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i)$  by an application of the  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -rule  $\frac{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \text{SEN}(f)(\vec{\chi}))}{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \text{SEN}(f)(\vec{\chi}))}$ .

This concludes the proof of structurality of  $C^{\text{Thm}, R}$ .  $\square$

Thus,  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C^{\text{Thm}, R} \rangle$  is a  $\pi$ -institution. Let us denote by  $\text{Thm}^R = \{\text{Thm}_\Sigma^R\}_{\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|}$  the theorem system of  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R}$ . It turns out that the theorem system  $\text{Thm}^R$  coincides with the theorem system  $\text{Thm}$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ :

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  be a weakly self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution, with theorem family  $\text{Thm}$ . Then  $\text{Thm} = \text{Thm}^R$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly, by the definition of  $C^{\text{Thm}, R}$ ,  $\text{Thm} \leq \text{Thm}^R$ .

For the converse, suppose that  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma^R$ . Thus,  $\varphi \in C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm}, R}(\emptyset)$ . This means that there exists an  $R_\Sigma$ -proof

$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n = \varphi$$

of  $\phi$  from  $\text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . We show by induction on  $k \leq n$  that  $\varphi_k \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ .

Base: If  $k = 0$ , then  $\varphi_0$  must be in  $\text{Thm}_\Sigma$  by hypothesis.

Hypothesis: Suppose that, for all  $i < k \leq n$ ,  $\varphi_i \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ .

Step: If  $\varphi_k \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ , then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise,  $\varphi_k$  follows from  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i < k$ , by an application of an  $R_\Sigma$ -rule. Thus, for some  $\sigma$  in  $N$ , some  $\vec{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^p$  and some  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ ,

$$\varphi_i = \sigma_\Sigma(\varphi, \vec{\chi}), \quad \varphi_k = \sigma_\Sigma(\psi, \vec{\chi}).$$

By weak selfextensionality of  $\mathcal{I}$ , we get  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Omega_\Sigma(\text{Thm})$ . Thus, since  $\Omega(\text{Thm})$  is a congruence system,  $\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle \in \Omega_\Sigma(\text{Thm})$ . Since, by the Induction Hypothesis,  $\varphi_i \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ , by the compatibility of the Leibniz congruence system, we get  $\varphi_k \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ .

This shows that  $\varphi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . Therefore  $\text{Thm}^R \leq \text{Thm}$ .  $\square$

The next result shows that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm},R}$  is a self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution. Intuitively speaking, this feature is instilled to the  $\pi$ -institution by virtue of its definition.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  be a weakly self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution, with theorem family  $\text{Thm}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm},R}$  is a selfextensional  $\pi$ -institution.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Sigma \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma)$  are such that

$$C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm},R}(\varphi) = C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm},R}(\psi).$$

Then  $\varphi \in C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm},R}(\psi)$ . Let  $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  in  $N$ ,  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$  and  $\vec{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma')^k$  be fixed but arbitrary. Our goal is to show that  $\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \vec{\chi}) \in C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm},R}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \vec{\chi}))$ . By symmetry, it then follows

$$C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm},R}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \vec{\chi})) = C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm},R}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \vec{\chi})),$$

i.e., that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm},R}$  is self-extensional.

Suppose first that  $\varphi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . Then,  $\psi \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$  also. Hence  $\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi)$  and  $\text{SEN}(f)(\psi)$  are in  $\text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \vec{\chi})$  follows by an application of a rule in  $R_{\Sigma'}$  from  $\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \vec{\chi})$ . This proves that  $\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \vec{\chi}) \in C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm},R}(\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \vec{\chi}))$ .

Now we turn to the case where  $\varphi \notin \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . Since  $\varphi \in C_\Sigma^{\text{Thm},R}(\psi)$ , there exists an  $R_\Sigma$ -proof

$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n = \varphi$$

of  $\varphi$  from premises  $\{\psi\} \cup \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ . Consider the sequence

$$\varphi'_0, \varphi'_1, \dots, \varphi'_n,$$

defined by induction on  $k \leq n$  as follows:

- If  $\varphi_k = \psi$ , then  $\varphi'_k = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \vec{\chi})$ .
- If  $\varphi_k \in \text{Thm}_\Sigma$ , then  $\varphi'_k = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k)$ .
- If  $\varphi_k$  follows from  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i < k$ , by an application of the  $R_\Sigma$ -rule  $\frac{\tau_\Sigma(\zeta, \vec{\eta})}{\tau_\Sigma(\xi, \vec{\eta})}$ , we set:

- $\varphi'_k = \text{SEN}(f)(\phi_k)$ , if  $\varphi'_i = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i)$ ;
- $\varphi'_k = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k), \bar{\chi})$ , if  $\varphi'_i = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i), \bar{\chi})$ .

Our goal is to show that this is a valid  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -proof of  $\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \bar{\chi})$  from premises  $\{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \bar{\chi})\} \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . We do this by employing induction on  $k \leq n$  to show that the sequence

$$\varphi'_0, \varphi'_1, \dots, \varphi'_k$$

is an  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -proof of  $\varphi'_k$  from premises  $\{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \bar{\chi})\} \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ .

Base: If  $k = 0$ , we have two cases:

- If  $\varphi_0 = \psi$ , then  $\varphi'_0 = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \bar{\chi})$  follows by hypothesis.
- If  $\varphi_0 \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma}$ , then  $\varphi'_0 = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_0) \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$  also follows by hypothesis.

Hypothesis: Assume that, for all  $i < k \leq n$ ,

$$\varphi'_0, \varphi'_1, \dots, \varphi'_i$$

is a valid  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -proof of  $\varphi'_i$  from premises  $\{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \bar{\chi})\} \cup \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ .

Step: If  $\varphi_k = \psi$  or  $\varphi_k \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma}$ , then we replicate the reasoning in the Base.

Suppose that  $\varphi_k$  follows from  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i < k$ , by an application of the  $R_{\Sigma}$ -rule  $\frac{\tau_{\Sigma}(\zeta, \bar{\eta})}{\tau_{\Sigma}(\xi, \bar{\eta})}$ , where  $\zeta, \eta \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma}$ .

- If  $\varphi'_i = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i)$ , then  $\varphi'_k = \text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k)$ . Since  $\zeta, \xi \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma}$ ,  $\text{SEN}(f)(\zeta), \text{SEN}(f)(\xi) \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . Thus, this step in the proof is justified by the fact that

$$\frac{\varphi'_i}{\varphi'_k} = \frac{\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i)}{\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k)} = \frac{\tau_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\zeta), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\bar{\eta}))}{\tau_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\xi), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\bar{\eta}))}$$

is a valid  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -rule.

- If  $\varphi'_i = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i), \bar{\chi})$ , then  $\varphi'_k = \sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k), \bar{\chi})$ . Once more, since  $\zeta, \xi \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma}$ , we get  $\text{SEN}(f)(\zeta), \text{SEN}(f)(\xi) \in \text{Thm}_{\Sigma'}$ . Thus, this step in the proof is justified by the fact that

$$\frac{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_i), \bar{\chi})}{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi_k), \bar{\chi})} = \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\tau_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\zeta), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\bar{\eta})), \bar{\chi})}{\sigma_{\Sigma'}(\tau_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\xi), \text{SEN}(f)^p(\bar{\eta})), \bar{\chi})}$$

is a valid  $R_{\Sigma'}$ -rule.

By symmetry, interchanging the roles of  $\varphi, \psi$  in the preceding reasoning, we get that, for all  $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$  in  $N$ , all  $\Sigma' \in |\mathbf{Sign}|$ , all  $f \in \mathbf{Sign}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$  and all  $\bar{\chi} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma')^k$ ,

$$C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm}, R}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi), \bar{\chi}) = C_{\Sigma'}^{\text{Thm}, R}(\text{SEN}(f)(\psi), \bar{\chi}).$$

By the CAAL characterization theorem of the Tarski congruence system of a  $\pi$ -institution (Theorem 4 of [10]), we get that  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R})$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is a selfextensional  $\pi$ -institution.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  be a weakly self-extensional  $\pi$ -institution, with theorem family  $\text{Thm}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is a referential  $\pi$ -institution.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 6 and Theorem 2 (Theorem 8 of [9]).  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *If a  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  is weakly self-extensional, then it is weakly referential.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be weakly self-extensional. Denote by  $\text{Thm}$  its theorem family. Construct the  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R}$  and denote by  $\text{Thm}^R$  its theorem family. By Corollary 7,  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Thm}, R}$  is referential and, by Lemma 5,  $\text{Thm} = \text{Thm}^R$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}$  is weakly referential.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *A  $\pi$ -institution  $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathbf{F}, C \rangle$  is weakly referential if and only if it is weakly self-extensional.*

**Proof.** The left-to-right implication is Proposition 3. The right-to-left implication is Proposition 8.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, Algebraizable Logics, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 77, No. 396 (1989)
- [2] J. Czelakowski, The Suszko Operator Part I, *Studia Logica* **74**:1-2 (2003), 181–231.
- [3] J. M. Font and R. Jansana, A General Algebraic Semantics for Sentential Logics, *Lecture Notes in Logic*, Vol. 332, No. 7 (1996), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [4] R. Jansana and A. Palmigiano, Referential Semantics: Duality and Applications, *Reports on Mathematical Logic* **41** (2006), 63–93.

- [5] R. Wójcicki, Referential Matrix Semantics for Propositional Calculi, *Bulletin of the Section of Logic* **8:4** (1979), 170–176.
- [6] R. Wójcicki, More About Referential Matrices, *Bulletin of the Section of Logic* **9:2** (1980), 93–95.
- [7] G. Voutsadakis, Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Full Models, Frege Systems and Metalogical Properties, *Reports on Mathematical Logic* **41** (2006), 31–62.
- [8] G. Voutsadakis, Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Prealgebraicity and Protoalgebraicity, *Studia Logica* **85:2** (2007), 215–249.
- [9] G. Voutsadakis, Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Referential Algebraic Semantics, *Studia Logica* **101:4** (2013), 849–899.
- [10] G. Voutsadakis, Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Tarski Congruence Systems, Logical Morphisms and Logical Quotients, *Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics: Advances and Applications* **13:1** (2015), 27–73.
- [11] G. Voutsadakis, Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Referential  $\pi$ -Institutions, *Bulletin of the Section of Logic* **44:1/2** (2015), 33–51.

School of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Lake Superior State University  
Sault Sainte Marie, MI 49783  
USA  
gvoutsad@lssu.edu